How to deal with data transfers to third countries?

CIT Workshop: GDPR one year after – What now? Berne, 18 June 2019

Dr. Monique Sturny



walderwyss attorneys at law

Overview Chapter V GDPR

General principles for transfers
 Art. 44 GDPR

Transfers based on...

adequacy decision
 Art. 45 GDPR

appropriate safeguards
 Art. 46 GDPR

Binding Corporate Rules (BCR)
 Art. 47 GDPR

Transfers not authorised by EU law
 Art. 48 GDPR

Derogations for specific situations
 Art. 49 GDPR

Data transfers to third countries

Two-step test

Legal basis (Art. 6 et seqq. GDPR)

Adequate data protection level in 3rd country (compliance with Chapter V, i.e. Art. 44 et seqq. GDPR)

EDPB Guidelines 2/2018

Layered approach – Overview

1

Adequacy decision (Art. 45 GDPR)

2

Appropriate safeguards (Art. 46 GDPR)

3

Derogations (Art. 49 GDPR)

Adequacy decision

EU / Pre-GDPR:

- Andorra
- Argentina
- Canada (limited to commercial organisations)
- Faroe Islands
- Guernsey
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Jersey
- New Zealand
- Switzerland
- Uruguay
- United States: for EU-US Privacy Shield

Under GDPR:

- Japan
- Future?
 - South Korea
 - UK post Brexit?
 - Others?
 - Periodic review of existing adequacy decisions

Switzerland:

- List of FDPIC (for personal data of individuals only)
- United States: for CH-US Privacy Shield

Adequacy decision

- «Adequate data protection level»: benchmark?
 - «Essentially equivalent», not identical
 (Schrems judgment of the ECJ, GDPR Recitals 104, 105)
 - Art. 45 GDPR
 - Japan adequacy decision
 - WP29 reference framework for adequacy: WP 254 rev.01

Adequacy decision

- Assessment criteria (Art. 45 para. 2 GDPR; cf. also Fact sheet
 Japan adequacy decision, Jan. 2019)
 - a) Rule of law and legal framework
 - → Inter alia fundamental rights, data protection rules, data subject rights
 - b) Independent supervisory authority with enforcement powers
 - → Strengthening the resources and competencies of the FDPIC and cantonal authorities; sanctions
 - c) International commitments
 - → Positive: in particular joining Council of Europe's Convention 108+
 - → Negative: e.g. excessive data exchange with third countries

2

Appropriate safeguards (Art. 46 GDPR)

Model Clauses

Controller-to-Controller

Controller-to-Processor

Binding Corporate Rules (BCR)

Certification

Approved Codes of Conduct

Derogations (Art. 49 para. 1 GDPR)

Conclusive list of derogations:

Subpara. 1

Explicit consent (let. a)

Contract (let. b and c)

Public interest (let. d)

Legal claims (let. e)

Vital interest (let. f)

Register (let. g)

Subpara. 2

Singular exemptions

Overarching condition: necessity test

"fall-back clause" for singular cases

Derogations (Art. 49 para. 1 GDPR)

- Derogations are exemptions from general principle, i.e. must be interpreted restrictively, apply for specific situations only, in particular:
 - Contract exemption
 - Legal claims exemption

- "occasional" (recital 111),
- "not repetitive" (Art. 49 para. 1 § 2)
- Not covered: systematic, repeated access, direct access via interface
- Does not justify disclosure of passenger data to foreign authority on a massive scale

- Explicit consent
- Public interest

given freely, for specific case, on informed basis, only if few persons concerned and no damage imminent for the persons concerned

strict benchmark, only if also in public interest of EU or EU member state concerned

Layered approach

1 Adequacy decision (Art. 45 GDPR)

2 Appropriate safeguards (Art. 46 GDPR)

Derogations (Art. 49 GDPR)

Model Clauses

Binding Corporate Rules (BCR)

Certification

Approved Codes of Conduct

Explicit consent

Contract

Public interest

Legal claims

Vital interest

Register

Singular exemptions (1)2

Relation to Art. 48 GDPR

- Recital 115
- Decisions from third country authorities, courts or tribunals are not in themselves legitimate grounds for data transfers to third countries.
 - Covers all sovereign acts / measures of third countries
 - Transfers to third countries
- Justification of transfer in case of international agreements which cover data transfers, e.g.
 - Mutual legal assistance treaties (MLAT)
 - – ≠ mere non-binding international agreements and recommendations: not sufficient
- If international agreement is in place: EU companies should
 - generally refuse direct requests
 - refer requesting third country authority to existing MLAT or agreement.

Dr. Monique Sturny, LL.M., Attorney at Law monique.sturny@walderwyss.com

Direct: +41 58 658 56 56

walderwyss attorneys at law