

Securitisation in Switzerland: a steadily developing market  
**Walder Wyss & Partners**



This article first appeared in Global Securitisation and Structured Finance 2006 published by Globe White Page.

[www.globalsecuritisation.com](http://www.globalsecuritisation.com)

# Securitisation in Switzerland: a steadily developing market

Johannes A Bürgi, Evan M Spangler and Markus J Kroll

Walder Wyss & Partners

Securitisation has found legal and commercial acceptance in Switzerland without the benefit of legislation or regulations specifically governing the issuance of asset-backed securities. A series of public and private transactions since 1994 have produced a tried-and-true securitisation transaction structure – readily recognisable by participants in such transactions in other jurisdictions – in which a trustee issues securities to investors, loans the proceeds on an unsecured basis to a special purpose Swiss corporation, which in turn acquires the relevant assets and engages the originator to service them. The first public transaction based on this structure was “Tell”, a residential mortgage securitisation which came to the market in 1998 and which was originated by Swiss Bank Corporation (today, UBS AG). Other public transactions modelled on this structure are “Swissact”, a residential mortgage securitisation originated by Zürcher Kantonalbank in 2001, and “Eiger”, a commercial real estate mortgage securitisation in 2003 originated by the Swiss branches of two Bermuda companies controlled by a Lehman Brothers real estate fund. Lawyers of Walder Wyss and Partners acted as counsel to the originators in each of these transactions. Although there was virtually no securitisation activity in the Swiss term market in 2004, the CMBS market was very active in Switzerland in 2005, with new originators entering the market. Also, the Swiss commercial real estate market is set to remain very active in 2006 and new Swiss CMBS transactions are likely to be launched shortly. According to rumours, a couple of leasing companies and credit card institutions are currently looking at securitisation as a new option.

The use of a trustee as the issuer of the asset-backed securities is a typical feature of securitisation transactions, but Swiss law lacks the Anglo-Saxon concept of the ownership of assets by a trustee for the benefit of others. As a result, this element of the structure must be imported from a jurisdiction with a suitable law of trusts.

Swiss law also does not provide for the types of transaction-specific special purpose vehicles ("SPVs") often used in other jurisdictions. All of the public Swiss securitisation transactions completed to date have used a corporation (*Aktiengesellschaft* / *société anonyme*) which is restricted in its articles of incorporation to the business activities necessary to carry out the securitisation transaction. The Swiss SPV is often an essential part of the structure, eg to comply with applicable Swiss laws and regulations, such as banking secrecy and data protection. The Swiss SPV is usually not required in a securitisation of unsecured trade receivables through a purchaser of such receivables which is not resident for tax purposes in Switzerland.

Many traditional asset classes originated in Switzerland – residential mortgages, commercial real estate, equipment leases and warehouse receipts – have been securitised. Further, some originators have chosen synthetic securitisation structures in order to avoid difficulties that may arise with the assignment of some types of assets.

In addition to ABS transactions originated in Switzerland, a number of foreign issuers have listed ABS issues on the SWX Swiss Exchange in Zurich. Helpfully, the SWX Swiss Exchange has adopted guidelines for the listing of asset-backed securities which supplement the standard listing rules for debt securities. English-language offering documents are acceptable to the SWX Swiss Exchange and the listing process is not burdensome. Walder Wyss and Partners advised Citibank in 2004 in connection with the listing on the SWX Exchange of Swiss franc-denominated notes issued by the Citibank Credit Card Issuance Trust (CHF 1,200,000,000).

### Assignment of assets, "true sale" and bankruptcy remoteness

The assignment of a claim or an account receivable must be made in writing and claims or assets to be transferred must actually be transferred to the purchaser. In the absence of specific statutory or contractual restrictions, there is no general obligation to obtain consent from, or to give notice to, the obligor of a transferred receivable in order for the transfer to be valid. Nevertheless, in the absence of notice of the assignment, if the obligor pays the debt owed to the originator, the obligor will be discharged from liability on the debt. Further, a waiver of set-off will be needed in any transaction involving an obligor who may have an independent claim against the originator, such as a bank depositor in the case of a mortgage or credit card securitisation. Finally, consent may be needed to eliminate a specific contractual or statutory notice or consent obligation. As a result of these issues, it is usually advisable for the originator to update its form agreements and general business terms and conditions to give notice to the obligors that the relevant accounts receivable, loans, leases or other assets may be securitised and to obtain a waiver of the obligors' set-off rights.

There is no system analogous to Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code in the US for filing of notice of an assignment of accounts receivable or notice of a security interest in order to perfect the assignee's claim under assigned accounts receivable or related security interests.

Receivables which have not yet been created but which will arise in the future may be validly assigned under Swiss law if the future claims can be defined with sufficient specificity. For example, future receivables under a credit card account or lease agreement which is in existence on the transfer date may be capable of the required specification, but future receivables arising under a credit card account or lease agreement which was not in existence on the transfer date may not. A separate assignment of the existing and future receivables in these accounts or leases may be advisable. An otherwise valid assignment of future

**Table 1: Transfer-related issues**

<b>Is a written transfer document required?</b>	Yes.
<b>Is a continuous assignment of receivables possible?</b>	Yes, pursuant to a general assignment of all receivables of a specified type.
<b>Is an automatic transfer of assets related to the transferred assets possible?</b>	Generally yes, but there are exceptions.
<b>Is the notification of debtors a condition to a valid assignment?</b>	No.
<b>Is a public filing required to perfect a security interest in transferred receivables?</b>	No.
<b>Is an optional public filing permitted to give notice of a transfer of receivables?</b>	No.
<b>Does a transfer preclude a debtor from asserting defences in respect of the receivable which it had against the originator against the transferee?</b>	No. For example, objections or defences in relation to receivables which came into existence before the date of notification of the assignment can still be asserted.
<b>Is there a limit on the amount of recourse (credit enhancement; deferred purchase price) the purchaser may have against the originator?</b>	For tax purposes, the amount of recourse should not exceed 15-20% of the receivables balance.

receivables will cease to be valid if the originator of the receivables becomes subject to bankruptcy proceedings. Receivables coming into existence after the opening of the bankruptcy proceedings will be included in the originator's assets which are administered in any bankruptcy proceedings applicable to it.

Although a "true sale" is not a formal concept under Swiss law, an originator will be considered to have made a true sale of assets from a factual point of view if the price paid by the purchaser to the originator has been determined at arm's length [fair market value]. Care must be taken to ensure that the purchase price fairly reflects the value of the assets sold, with an appropriate discount for credit risk, in order to guard against a claim by creditors that they were defrauded by the sale. In addition, any deferred purchase price paid to the originator or credit enhancement supplied by the originator may not be so high as to suggest the originator has retained the

credit risk inherent in the assets. For tax purposes, the amount of such recourse to the originator should not exceed 15-20 per cent of the principal amount of the transferred receivables, depending on the nature of the assets and the chosen transaction structure.

In addition to structuring the transaction to achieve a true sale of assets, the bankruptcy remoteness of the assets will be buttressed in all transaction documents with agreements from the counterparties of the purchaser that they will not (i) assert any claims against the purchaser in excess of their claims under the transaction documents and as further limited by the priority of payments governing the application of the purchaser's assets, (ii) seek the bankruptcy of the purchaser until the lapse of more than one year after the redemption or extinguishment of the ABS securities and (iii) seek to offset any claims they may have against amounts they are required to pay to the purchaser.

Table 1 above provides an overview of the treatment of common issues in Swiss securitisation transactions.

### **Asset transfers under the Swiss Federal Law Concerning Mergers, Divestitures, Conversions and Asset Transfers**

The Swiss Federal Law Concerning Mergers, Divestitures, Conversions and Asset Transfers provides an alternative method of transferring assets to be securitised to a special purpose corporation. Under this new legal framework, the transfer of assets and liabilities does not require the consent of other parties to the transferred contract. This legislation may prove particularly beneficial to leasing securitisations or other transactions securitising future receivables.

### **Securitisation of loans secured by real estate**

In Switzerland, security for the repayment of a loan used for the purchase of real estate is generally created by the obligor executing a "*Schuldbrief*" and delivering it to the lender. The *Schuldbrief* is independent evidence of the obligor's debt and it is usually recorded in a public land register as an encumbrance on the relevant property. The *Schuldbrief* is usually issued to the obligor in bearer form and the security interest is created by the obligor delivering the *Schuldbrief* to the lender pursuant to a security assignment (*Sicherungsübereignung*). If the *Schuldbrief* has been issued in registered form, then it also must be endorsed by the obligor in favour of the lender. The security assignment gives the lender the power to seek what is tantamount to the sale of the property in foreclosure proceedings if the obligor defaults on its obligations.

The lender/originator in a commercial or residential real estate securitisation will also make a security assignment to the purchaser in the ABS transaction of each *Schuldbrief* which was delivered pursuant to a security assignment made by an obligor to the lender/originator when the relevant loans were originated. Careful structuring is needed to ensure that the purchaser has taken delivery of the *Schuldbrief* securing real estate loans in an ABS transaction and that

any servicer may take possession of the *Schuldbrief* when needed for debt enforcement purposes.

Swiss law permits the direct ownership of commercial real property in Switzerland by foreign persons and entities, but residential property may only be owned by Swiss citizens and foreigners who are long-term residents of Switzerland. The trustee therefore may never acquire title to the real property securing the portfolio of residential loans or residential leases.

### **Swiss Federal Data Protection Law**

Switzerland's Federal Law Concerning Data Protection (*Bundesgesetz über den Datenschutz*) places limitations on the scope of the collection and use of personal information, as well as other types of information. The definition of personal information – any information which refers to a specific legal or natural person or a legal or natural person capable of being specifically identified – is sufficiently broad that the disclosure of information relating to accounts receivable and other assets to be securitised will be restricted or prohibited. Care therefore must be taken to ensure that the requirements of this law are met while at the same time ensuring that the purchaser of assets in the ABS transaction will have access to the information required to enforce its claims under the receivables if the originator of the assets is no longer the servicer of the assets.

### **Banking secrecy**

The Swiss Federal Law Concerning the Banks and Savings Institutions (*Bundesgesetz über die Banken und Sparkassen*) provides that "whoever discloses a secret that was entrusted to him in his capacity as a director, officer, employee [or] agent of a bank" as defined in the statute shall be subject to fines and imprisonment. This law is the legal source of Switzerland's well-known banking secrecy and it is understood to prevent the disclosure of the existence of any information related to a banking relationship, including the existence of the banking relationship and the identity of the customer. Though receivables subject to banking secrecy laws cannot be assigned without the consent of the bank customer, solutions have been found by most banks to

allow the transfer of loans for securitisation purposes. In addition, regulators like the FBC and the Swiss Federal Office of Private Insurance are supportive in giving advanced clearance on various regulatory issues such as regulatory capital requirements for banks, non-application of insurance laws, etc.

### Money laundering

The Swiss Federal Law for the Prevention of Money Laundering in the Finance Sector of 10 October 1997 (*Bundesgesetz zur Bekämpfung der Geldwäscherei im Finanzsektor von 10. Oktober 1997*), the "Money Laundering Law", specifies measures to be undertaken by a wide range of financial intermediaries, including factoring and finance companies, for the prevention of money laundering. Generally speaking, the Money Laundering Law requires a financial intermediary to identify its contractual counterparties and the beneficial owner of financial assets, to ascertain the business purpose or background of a transaction and to report suspicious transactions to the relevant authorities. Recognised self-regulatory bodies play a significant supervisory role under the Money Laundering Law and a financial intermediary which is not a member of such a self-regulatory body must obtain a licence to conduct its business from the control authority established under the law. The scope of regulation under the Money Laundering Law can be difficult to determine and, unlike many other agencies of Swiss government, the control authority under the Money Laundering Law does not provide advance rulings or comfort letters on compliance issues.

### Synthetic securitisation

Originators sometimes decide that it is too expensive or too disruptive to their business to comply with requirements related to asset transfers, banking secrecy and data protection and therefore choose a synthetic securitisation. Typically the originator enters into a credit default swap to transfer the credit risk in a pool of assets to the special purpose vehicle. In 2000, UBS AG was the first originator in Switzerland to apply this technique by transferring credit risk in

a portfolio of loans to small and medium-sized enterprises in Switzerland to the capital markets in a public transaction (Helvetic Asset Trust or "HAT"). This transaction was structured to allow UBS actively to manage the portfolio and therefore its credit risk. UBS completed a second synthetic transaction (HAT II) in 2003. Credit Suisse also completed a US\$2.4 billion fully funded synthetic transaction in July 2003 – "Chalet I" – which referenced a portfolio of residential real estate loans and "Chalet II", a US\$2 billion follow-up transaction in the fourth quarter of 2003. Care must be taken in structuring the credit default swap in synthetic transactions to ensure that it is not a contract of insurance under Swiss law. Walder Wyss and Partners has acted as counsel to the originators in all of the public, Swiss synthetic securitisation transactions.

### Alternative risk transfer

The synthetic securitisation concept was extended to fund non-cancellable coverage for certain losses which the Fédération Internationale de Football Association ("FIFA") could expect to suffer if it were necessary for any reason to cancel the 2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany, including a cancellation resulting from terrorist attacks. This transaction, which closed in 2003, allows FIFA to retain absolute discretion to cancel the 2006 FIFA World Cup final match, so a mechanism was needed to address so-called moral hazard concerns that FIFA could arbitrarily cancel the event thereby triggering a payment from the proceeds of the issue. This concern was resolved by creating a determination committee composed of independent arbitrators that must confirm that defined criteria have been satisfied before any payment from the proceeds of the bonds is made. The arbitration procedures of this committee are governed by the Swiss Federal Act on Private International Law (Art. 176, et seq.). An important legal issue in the transaction was ensuring that no transaction party, including investors in the issue, would be considered to be carrying on insurance business in any relevant jurisdiction. Walder Wyss and Partners acted as counsel to FIFA in this transaction.

**Table 2: Tax issues**

<b>Is stamp duty or any other tax imposed on the transfer of receivables?</b>	No, so long as a tax true sale for tax purposes is recognised. If the receivables arise under a loan secured by Swiss real estate, then a source tax on the interest payments will apply.
<b>Is value-added tax imposed on the transfer of receivables?</b>	No.
<b>Is value-added tax imposed on the fee paid to the servicer of the receivables or ABS assets?</b>	Yes, if the servicing is provided by a Swiss servicer to a Swiss SPV. No, if servicing is provided by a non-Swiss servicer to a non-Swiss SPV. Case-by-case review is applied if the servicing is provided by a Swiss servicer to a non-Swiss SPV.
<b>Is withholding tax imposed on payments to an offshore recipient which are not interest payments?</b>	No.
<b>Is withholding tax imposed on payments to an offshore recipient which are interest payments?</b>	Subject to some detailed exceptions, yes.
<b>Is a purchaser of receivables or other ABS assets from a Swiss originator subject to taxation in Switzerland?</b>	If the purchaser does not have permanent establishment in Switzerland, no.

### Taxation of ABS transactions

Each Swiss ABS transaction will involve tax issues which must be addressed by the structure. It is both possible – and advisable – to obtain an advance ruling on the tax treatment of the structure.

If a Swiss SPV is used, then it will be subject to income and capital tax. This is not a significant impediment to the transaction because the SPV is thinly capitalised (typically with a paid in capital of CHF150,000) and because tax authorities have accepted the deductibility of all of the SPV's expenses. This results in a nominal amount of income and capital tax to be paid by the SPV.

If securities are issued by a Swiss issuer, then an up-front issue stamp duty will be imposed at a rate of 12 basis points per annum if the financing is provided pursuant to identical debt instruments by more than 10 non-bank lenders, and at a rate of 6 basis points per annum if the financing is provided pursuant to debt

instruments with different terms by more than 20 non-bank lenders.

Generally, interest and dividend payments made in respect of the securities of a Swiss issuer are subject to withholding tax at a rate 35 per cent per annum. A Swiss taxpayer may take credit for, or claim a refund of, this withholding on their annual income tax return. Non-Swiss taxpayers who are beneficiaries of some double taxation treaties may be able to partially reclaim or take credit for this withholding tax. Swiss withholding tax is a major issue in the structuring of ABS transactions originating in Switzerland and structures are available which will not result in the imposition of withholding tax on the holders of the securities issued through the ABS transaction.

Table 2 above provides an overview of recurring tax issues in Swiss securitisation transactions.

### Bankruptcy issues

Switzerland does not apply the concept of substantive consolidation in bankruptcy proceedings, so it is possible that one company in an originator's corporate group could become bankrupt without affecting the other member companies of the group. Once bankruptcy proceedings have been opened, creditors and other claimants are not permitted to bring legal actions in Switzerland outside of the administration of the debtor in bankruptcy. If during the period of one year before the commencement of bankruptcy proceedings, the debtor has transferred assets for less than fair value or has transferred assets during a period in which its liabilities exceeded its assets, then these transfers can be rescinded. If the debtor has transferred assets in a manner which can be

considered a fraud on creditors at any time during a period of five years before the commencement of bankruptcy proceedings, then these transfers also may be rescinded.

### Conclusion

Over the last ten years, securitisation has established itself in Switzerland as a recognised financial technique. In addition to a limited number of one-off public issues, there has been a steady flow in the private placement market, and there is an increasing interest in securitising a number of different assets. The Swiss securitisation market is building a deeper pool of structured finance experience, both in terms of transaction precedent and professional familiarity.



## Walder Wyss & Partners

Attorneys at Law

Münstergasse 2

P.O.Box 2990

CH-8022 Zurich

Tel +41 44 265 75 11 Fax +41 44 265 75 50

Web [www.wwp.ch](http://www.wwp.ch)

### Johannes Bürgi

Partner

Dr iur, LLM

**Direct** +41 44 265 75 59;

**Email** [jbuergi@wwp.ch](mailto:jbuergi@wwp.ch)

Johannes Bürgi is a partner in the Banking & Finance Team of ww&p. He advises banks, insurers and other companies in matters involving banking, finance, capital markets and securities law, as well as corporate and competition law. In finance, he focuses on structured finance transactions (securitisation, alternative risk transfer), project finance and asset finance including complex real estate financings. Johannes Bürgi is a lecturer in business law at the University of Berne, a lecturer in financial law in the Executive Master of European and International Business Law Program (M.B.L.-HSG) of the University of St. Gallen and a fellow of the Program in Law, Business and Finance in Korea. He is a member of the European Securitisation Forum (ESF).

Born in 1972, Johannes Bürgi was educated at Lausanne and Berne Universities (state exam 1998, Dr iur 2001) and at Stanford Law School (LLM 2003). He has working experience as a District Court law clerk, trainee at a Berne law firm, research assistant at the University of Berne and secondee to UBS Investment Bank in Zurich.

Johannes Bürgi speaks German, English and French. He is registered with the Zurich Bar Registry and admitted to practice in all Switzerland.

### Markus J Kroll

Partner

Dr oec et lic iur, LLM

**Direct** +41 44 265 75 15

**Email** [mkroll@wwp.ch](mailto:mkroll@wwp.ch)

Markus J Kroll, is a partner in the Banking & Finance Team of ww&p and specialises in structured finance, non-traditional risks and structured investment products. Born in 1960, Markus J Kroll was educated at Zurich University (lic oec 1987, Dr oec 1991, lic iur 1992) and at the London School of Economics and Political Science (LLM 1992). He started his career at UBS Ltd in international loans and moved to a Zurich law firm in 1990 where he became a partner in 1992. Markus J Kroll speaks German, English, Italian and French.

### Evan M Spangler

Konsulent/Counsel

JD, LLM

**Direct** +41 44 265 75 81

**Email** [espangler@wwp.ch](mailto:espangler@wwp.ch)

Evan M Spangler is counsel in the Banking & Finance Team of ww&p. He specialises in structured finance, structured investment products, insurance and reinsurance.

Born in 1955, Evan M Spangler was educated at the University of Southern California, Indiana University, Bloomington (BA 1978), Indiana University, Indianapolis (JD 1981), and the London School of Economics and Political Science (LLM 1991). He was a fellow at Pacific Legal Foundation's College of Public Interest Law and previously served as an Assistant United States Attorney, General Counsel of Reinsurance Finance Consultants and as Chief Counsel for Legal, Claims and Tax at Swiss Re New Markets.

Evan M Spangler joined ww&p in 2000. He speaks English and German. He is admitted to the practice of law in Indiana, California (inactive) and Texas and is a member of the American Bar Association Section of Business Law.

**Walder Wyss & Partners**

**[www.wwp.ch](http://www.wwp.ch)**

**Attorneys at Law, Münsterergasse 2, P.O.Box 2990, CH-8022 Zurich**

**Tel +41 44 265 75 11 Fax +41 44 265 75 50**